

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Routine versus on demand removal of the syndesmotic screw;
a protocol for an international randomised controlled trial
(RODEO-trial) (vol 19, 35, 2018)

Dingemans, S. A.

2020-08-05

Dingemans , S A , Birnie , M F N , Sanders , F R K , van den Bekerom , M P J , Backes , M , van Beeck , E , Bloemers , F W , van Dijkman , B , Flikweert , E , Haverkamp , D , Holtslag , H R , Hoogendoorn , J M , Joosse , P , Parkkinen , M , Roukema , G , Sosef , N , Twigt , B A , van Veen , R N , van der Veen , A H , Vermeulen , J , Winkelhagen , J , van der Zwaard , B C , van Dieren , S , Goslings , J C & Schepers , T 2020 , ' Routine versus on demand removal of the syndesmotic screw; a protocol for an international randomised controlled trial (RODEO-trial) (vol 19, 35, 2018) ' , BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders , vol. 21 , no. 1 , 520 . <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03516-7>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/319448>

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03516-7>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Routine versus on demand removal of the syndesmotic screw; a protocol for an international randomised controlled trial (RODEO-trial)

S. A. Dingemans¹, M. F. N. Birnie¹, F. R. K. Sanders¹, M. P. J. van den Bekerom², M. Backes¹, E. van Beeck³, F. W. Bloemers⁴, B. van Dijkman⁵, E. Flikweert⁶, D. Haverkamp⁷, H. R. Holtslag¹, J. M. Hoogendoorn⁸, P. Joosse⁹, M. Parkkinen¹⁰, G. Roukema¹¹, N. Sosef¹², B. A. Twigt¹³, R. N. van Veen¹⁴, A. H. van der Veen¹⁵, J. Vermeulen¹², J. Winkelhagen¹⁶, B. C. van der Zwaard¹⁷, S. van Dieren¹, J. C. Goslings² and T. Schepers^{1*}

Correction to: BMC Musculoskelet Disord 19, 35 (2018)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-1946-5>

In the originally published version of this protocol [1], the sample size calculation of the subgroups for age should have been phrased differently. The actual sample size of each subgroup (routine removal ≥ 60 years old, routine removal < 60 years old, on demand removal ≥ 60 years old and on demand removal < 60 years old) should be 44, making a total of 176 (unchanged), which would come to a required number of included patients of 196 when incorporating a loss to follow up of 10%.

Author details

¹Department of Surgery, Trauma Unit, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, OLVG, P.O. Box 95500, 1090 HM Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ³Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ⁴Department of Surgery, Trauma Unit, VU University Medical Centre, P.O. Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁵Department of Surgery, Flevo Hospital, P.O. Box 3005, 1300 EG Almere, The Netherlands. ⁶Department of Surgery, Deventer Hospital, P.O. Box 5001, 7400 GC Deventer, The Netherlands. ⁷Department of Surgery, Slotervaart Hospital, P.O. Box 90440, 1006 BK Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁸Department of Surgery, Haaglanden MC, P.O. Box 432, 2501 CK The Hague, The Netherlands. ⁹Department of Surgery, Noordwest Hospital Group, P.O. Box 501, 1815 JD Alkmaar, The Netherlands.

¹⁰Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Helsinki University Hospital, Topeliuksenkatu 5, 00260 Helsinki, Finland. ¹¹Department of Surgery, Maastad Hospital, P.O. Box 9100, 3007 AC Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ¹²Department of Surgery, Spaarne Hospital, P.O. Box 770, 2130 AT Hoofddorp, The Netherlands. ¹³Department of Surgery, BovenIJ Hospital, P.O. Box 37610, 1030 BD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ¹⁴Department of Surgery, OLVG, P.O. Box 95500, 1090 HM Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ¹⁵Department of Surgery, Catharina Hospital, P.O. Box 1350, 5602 ZA Eindhoven, The Netherlands. ¹⁶Department of Surgery, Westfries Hospital, P.O. Box 600, 1620 AR Hoorn, The Netherlands. ¹⁷Department of Orthopaedics, Jeroen Bosch Hospital, P.O. Box 90153, 5200 ME 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands.

Published online: 05 August 2020

Reference

1. Dingemans SA, Birnie MFN, Sanders FRK, et al. Routine versus on demand removal of the syndesmotic screw; a protocol for an international randomised controlled trial (RODEO-trial). *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2018; 19:35 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-1946-5>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-1946-5>.

* Correspondence: t.schepers@amc.nl

¹Department of Surgery, Trauma Unit, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.